

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LUONG BINH KY,

Petitioner,

2:02-cv-2447-GEB-DAD-P

vs.

MICHAEL J. YARBROUGH, et al.,

Respondents.

ORDER

Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262.

On March 15, 2006, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days. Neither party has filed objections to the findings and recommendations.

Although it appears from the file that petitioner's copy of the findings and recommendations were returned, petitioner was properly served. It is the petitioner's responsibility to keep the court apprised of his current address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 83-182(f), service of documents at the record address of the party is fully effective.

1 The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be
2 supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY
3 ORDERED that:

4 1. The findings and recommendations filed March 15, 2006, are adopted in full;
5 and

6 2. Petitioner's application for a writ of habeas corpus is denied.

7 Dated: May 22, 2006

8
9 /s/ Garland E. Burrell, Jr.
10 GARLAND E. BURRELL, JR.
11 United States District Judge
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26